

RCE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,443	06/20/2005	Anders Nykiaer	NYKJAERI	6823
1444 7590 09/11/2007 BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. 624 NINTH STREET, NW			EXAMINER	
			MACFARLANE, STACEY NEE	
SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·		1649	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/539,443	NYKIAER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	<b>,</b>					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Stacey MacFarlane ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period fo						
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	✓ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 June 2005.					
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5) [ 6) [ 7) [	Claim(s) <u>1-5,7,10,11,13-25,27-37,40-52,55,60-4a</u> ) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-5, 7, 10-11, 13-25, 27-37, 40-52, 55</u> ent.	vn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
<b>Priority</b>	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document:  2. Certified copies of the priority document:  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document:  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmer	nt(s)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)				
3) 🔲 Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 1649

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions that are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group 1, claim(s) 1-5, 7, 10, 11, 13-25, 27-37 and 40-45, in so far as they are drawn to a method of treatment of disease comprising administering an effective amount of an agent; wherein the agent is a peptide comprising SEQ ID NO: 1.

Group 2, claim(s) 1-5, 7, 10, 11, 13-25, 27-37 and 40-45, in so far as they are drawn to a method of treatment of disease comprising administering an effective amount of an agent; wherein the agent is a peptide comprising SEQ ID NO: 2.

Group 3, claim(s) 1-5, 7, 10, 11, 13-25, 27-37 and 40-45, in so far as they are drawn to a method of treatment of disease comprising administering an effective amount of an agent; wherein the agent is a peptide comprising SEQ ID NO: 6.

Group 4, claim(s) 1-5, 7, 10, 11, 13-25, 27-37 and 40-45, in so far as they are drawn to a method of treatment of disease comprising administering an effective amount of an agent; wherein the agent is a peptide comprising SEQ ID NO: 7.

Group 5, claim(s) 1-5, 7, 10, 11, 13-25, 27-37 and 40-45, in so far as they are drawn to a method of treatment of disease comprising administering an effective amount of an agent; wherein the agent is a peptide comprising SEQ ID NO: 8

Group 6, claim(s) 1-5, 7, 10, 11, 13-25, 27-37 and 40-45, in so far as they are drawn to a method of treatment of disease comprising administering an effective amount of an agent; wherein the agent is a peptide comprising SEQ ID NO: 9.

Art Unit: 1649

Group 7, claim(s) 1-5, 7, 10, 11, 13-25, 27-37 and 40-45, in so far as they are drawn to a method of treatment of disease comprising administering an effective amount of an agent; wherein the agent is a peptide comprising SEQ ID NO: 10.

Group 8, claim(s) 1-5, 7, 10, 11, 13-25, 27-37 and 40-45, in so far as they are drawn to a method of treatment of disease comprising administering an effective amount of an agent; wherein the agent is a peptide comprising SEQ ID NO: 11.

Group 9, claim(s) 1-5, 7, 10, 11, 13-25, 27-37 and 40-45, in so far as they are drawn to a method of treatment of disease comprising administering an effective amount of an agent; wherein the agent is a peptide comprising SEQ ID NO: 13.

Group 10, claim(s) 1-5, 7, 10, 11, 13-25, 27-37 and 40-45, in so far as they are drawn to a method of treatment of disease comprising administering an effective amount of an agent; wherein the agent is an antibody directed against a sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1.

Group 11, claim(s) 1-5, 7, 10, 11, 13-25, 27-37 and 40-45, in so far as they are drawn to a method of treatment of disease comprising administering an effective amount of an agent; wherein the agent is an antisense RNA, antisense DNA or siRNA.

Group 12, claim(s) 46-51, drawn to an in vitro method for screening for compounds that alter binding of a neurotrophin to a Vps10p-domain receptor family.

Group 13, claim(s) 52, drawn to a method or determining the effect of an agent on activity of neurotrophins.

Group 14, claim(s) 55 and 60, drawn to a method for modulating the transport of a neurotrophin.

Group 15, claim(s) 61-62, drawn to a method for treatment by modulating the transport of a neurotrophin.

Group 16, claim(s) 64-66, drawn to a method for isolating a compound capable of altering binding of a neurotrophin to a receptor of the Vps10p-domain family.

Group 17, claim(s) 70, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition comprising an antibody directed against amino acids 612-740 of SEQ ID NO: 1.

Group 18, claim(s) 71, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition comprising a soluble receptor of the Vps10p-domain receptor family.

Application/Control Number: 10/539,443 Page 4

Art Unit: 1649

2. The inventions listed as Groups 1-18 do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the special technical feature of the claimed methods is an agent or compound that (1) binds to a receptor of the Vps10p-domain family and/or (2) interferes with binding between a receptor of the Vps10p-domain family and a neurotrophin and/or (3) modulates the expression of a receptor of the Vps10p-domain family. The expression "special technical feature" is defined in Rule 13.2 as meaning those technical features that define a contribution which each of the inventions makes over the prior art. Whether a particular feature makes a contribution over the prior art, is considered with respect to novelty and inventive step. The following reference teaches antibody- and ligand-agents that bind to a receptor of the Vps10p-domain family (page 22789, paragraphs 3-4; Jacobsen et al. The Journal of Biological Chemistry 276(25): 22788-22796, published June 2001). While the article and the instant application share a common entity, the article qualifies as prior art because it is "by others". Since the prior art teaches the corresponding special technical feature of Groups 1-18, the inventions listed do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

## Species Election

3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

Art Unit: 1649

The species are as follows:

(Claims 5 and 47) Elect a neurotrophin from the group consisting of: neural growth factor (NGF), brain derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), neurotrophin-3 (NT-3), or neurotrophin-4/5 (NT-4/5).

(Claim 7-11 and 48) Elect a receptor from the group consisting of: SorLA, Sortilin, SorCSI, SorCS-2, or SorCS-3.

(Claims 33-35, 37, 40-44) Elect a disease for treatment from the group consisting of: Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson's disease, Huntington's chorea, stroke, ALS, peripheral neuropathies, necrosis or loss of neurons, nerve damage to trauma, kidney dysfunction, injury, and the toxic effects of chemotherapeutics used to treat cancer and AIDS, aberrant sprouting in epilepsy, schizophrenia, pancreas or lung injury and/or dysfunction, OR injury and/or dysfunction of the central and/or peripheral nervous systems.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Art Unit: 1649

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The following claim(s) are generic: Claims 1-4.

- 4. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: they encompass structurally distinct neurotrophins and receptors, and pathologically and etiologically distinct diseases and conditions for treatment.
- 5. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:
  - (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
  - (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
  - (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
  - (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;

(e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1649

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must 6. include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP

Art Unit: 1649

§ 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder**. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stacey MacFarlane whose telephone number is (571) 270-3057. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30AM-4:00 PM & ALT. Fridays, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (571) 272-0841. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/539,443 Page 10

Art Unit: 1649

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Stacey MacFarlane Examiner Art Unit 1649

**SNM** 

OLGAN. CHESTY SHEY, PH.D.